K2C7RAYC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 V. 20 Cr. 110 (LJL) 5 LAWRENCE RAY, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 New York, N.Y. 8 February 12, 2020 4:00 p.m. 9 Before: 10 11 HON. LEWIS J. LIMAN District Judge 12 13 APPEARANCES GEOFFREY S. BERMAN 14 United States Attorney for the 15 Southern District of New York BY: DANIELLE SASSOON 16 Assistant United States Attorney 17 MARNE LENOX PEGGY CROSS-GOLDENBERG 18 Attorneys for Defendant 19 ALSO PRESENT: Kelly MaGuire, F.B.I. 20 21 22 23 24

25

1 (In open court) 2 (Case called) 3 MS. SASSOON: Good afternoon, your Honor. Danielle 4 Sassoon for the United States, and I am joined by F.B.I. 5 special agent Kelly MaGuire. 6 THE COURT: Good afternoon, counsel. 7 MS. LENOX: Good afternoon, your Honor. For Mr. Ray, Federal Defenders by Marne Lenox, and I am joined at counsel 8 9 table by my colleague Peggy Cross-Goldenberg. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon. I understand that we are 11 here today for an arraignment and an initial conference. 12 that correct? 13 MS. SASSOON: That's correct, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ray, would you please stand. 15 Mr. Ray, you have been indicted in an indictment that 16 contains nine counts. It charges you with extortion 17 conspiracy, extortion, sex trafficking, forced labor, forced 18 labor racketeering, forced labor conspiracy, use of interstate commerce to promote unlawful activity, and money laundering. 19 20 Have you seen a copy of the indictment? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And have you had an opportunity to discuss 23 it with your lawyer? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: And have you discussed it with your

lawyer, with your counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: OK. You have a right for me to read the indictment out loud to you. Would you like me to do so?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: So do you waive the public reading of the indictment? You have to speak out loud, sir.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: How do you plead, sir, to the indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.

THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you.

Counsel, I'd like to discuss briefly the question of discovery and a next conference in this case. Would the government please describe to me what the status is of discovery and how long you need to make discovery in this case.

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor. The discovery is going to be fairly extensive, and I think it would help to describe different categories of discovery and a proposed time line, and the fact that for some discovery it's difficult to put timing on it, and I can explain why.

So, first, we anticipate pushing out a first wave of discovery in the next week or so that will include all of the warrants and warrant applications in this case. There are numerous warrants, including a cell site warrant, an iCloud search warrant for seven iCloud accounts, another iCloud search

warrant for two accounts used by Ray's associates, phone search warrants, a GPS warrant, a premises search warrant, and a search warrant executed yesterday for a storage unit used by Mr. Ray. Again, we expect to get all of those warrants and applications out within the next week.

THE COURT: Is there any reason why you couldn't complete discovery of that in the next week, by a week from today?

MS. SASSOON: No, your Honor.

In addition, as part of that initial wave of discovery we anticipate producing the defendant's criminal history, his post-arrest statement made yesterday, returns from the Internal Revenue Service, cell site records and some subpoena returns.

In the category of subpoena returns, we have issued more than 100 subpoenas in this case, and some of those subpoena returns, like financial records, can be produced relatively quickly, but there is a category of discovery in this case that includes very sensitive material for which we will be consulting with defense counsel for a protective order to govern the disclosure of that material, and that's going to include some of the subpoena returns as well as some of the e-mail and iCloud evidence. And I think I failed to mention that there is also an e-mail search warrant for seven accounts including four used by Ray. But as part of this investigation we have obtained e-mail and iCloud accounts belonging to other

individuals including victims of Ray, and we have to discuss how that information is going to be shared with defense counsel while also protecting that information.

THE COURT: So with the exception of the subpoena returns -- some of which you will not be able to do in a week -- the bulk of the other stuff in that category two you will be able to produce by a week from today.

MS. SASSOON: That's correct.

The next category is going to be Ray's own iCloud and e-mail accounts, and that will take a little bit more time to process, and also to get a hard drive from defense counsel large enough to include the data, which is over a terabyte of data.

For Ray's accounts we can produce those in their entirety, and that's going to include both e-mails and multimedia like photographs and videos.

But, as I mentioned, there is a category of iCloud and e-mail evidence belonging to victims, including sensitive material and sexually explicit material, and culling out the responsive material from those accounts is going to take time. We have to do a responsiveness review to be able to produce only part of those accounts and not the private, nonpertinent parts of those victims' accounts, and so that's underway.

THE COURT: Explain that last category to me again.

MS. SASSOON: Yes. So we have, for example, an iCloud

account belonging to one of the victims. In that account, for example, there are videos that are relevant evidence for this investigation, for example, videos of Ray berating the victim, sexually explicit conduct that relates to the extortion. But because these accounts don't belong to Ray, it would be inappropriate to produce the entire account, including nonresponsive material, to Ray, and so we have to complete our review of the account for responsive material that is evidence of the subject offenses, and that's been underway but will take a little bit of time.

THE COURT: But when you say a little bit of time, can you be a little bit more specific?

MS. SASSOON: About a month, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm acknowledging that you've said that;
I'm not agreeing that that should be the deadline.

MS. SASSOON: And then so that will take some time.

And then what is likely to take the most time is processing the material seized yesterday in search of the house, and that is because in the course of that search somewhere between 20 and 40 electronic devices were seized, and our understanding of those electronic devices is that it includes both devices used by Ray, devices used by his associates, and also devices seized over the course of this criminal conduct.

In the course of the investigation, we learned from more than one witness that Ray would seize their electronic

devices in order to extort them with sensitive material that was contained on those devices. For example, a victim of Ray's sex trafficking described that he would have her use her phone to take sexually explicit videos and then seize her electronic devices to use that material against her. The search corroborates that information, and we believe some of those devices are contained within the devices seized, in part because one person on the scene of the search identified some of the devices as belonging to that female victim.

In addition to these electronics, a lot of paper records were seized, including journals. In the course of the criminal conduct the defendant directed his victims to write sensitive and incriminating things in journals, that he would then seize and use against them. We now have some of those journals, and so we have to process these journals, figure out a way to either scan them or make them accessible to defense counsel, and then we need to image all of the electronic devices, and that can take time and is not entirely within our hands; it depends a little bit on the F.B.I.

So, I think if we have a conference sometime in the next few weeks, I will be better equipped to provide an update on the processing time of those devices.

THE COURT: With respect to the victims' devices that were seized, are there issues of consent with respect to those?

Or is it sufficient that they were seized from the defendant?

MS. SASSOON: So, it's our position that our warrant would encompass these materials; it wasn't limited to electronic devices belonging to Ray, although they were in his possession at the time. In our view it was expansive enough to include electronic devices that would have evidence of the subject offenses, and this would fall in that category.

THE COURT: And do you have any rough estimate as to how long it will take you for the discovery of the items in this category?

MS. SASSOON: My understanding is that defense counsel is likely to request a conference sometime in the near term, and I would request that I provide an update at that conference, because F.B.I. is still coming up with an estimate on timing for these devices, and we don't yet know the quantity of data contained on these devices, which will affect how long it takes to unlock them and to image them. Once they are unlocked and imaged, it will then take time to process what we have to produce and what should not be produced.

THE COURT: Are there other categories of discovery, or are we now complete?

MS. SASSOON: There are other categories of sensitive discovery, for example, BackPage prostitution ads, which we will produce under an anticipated protective order, but I have largely covered the categories of evidence.

THE COURT: I will hear from defense counsel in a

moment. But, counsel, one or two more questions for you before I hear from defense counsel.

The first question is, to the extent that you are prepared to do so, it would be helpful to the Court if you could describe the law enforcement techniques that were used in this case. I hear that there were search warrants, but in thinking about potential motion practice it would be useful to know what other types of law enforcement activity there was, again to the extent that you're prepared to discuss it.

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor. So there were numerous witness interviews conducted in this case. Approximately 17 different witnesses were interviewed in connection with this investigation. There were numerous warrants executed which I have described. There was extensive financial analysis conducted in connection with this case that underpins the extortion and money laundering counts, and also that corroborated evidence about the sex trafficking in this case. There were steps taken by the IRS, including the maintaining of IRS records which show that the defendant did not file any tax returns or report any income throughout the period of his criminal conduct.

THE COURT: I take it there were no wiretaps or line-ups.

MS. SASSOON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: One last question, counsel. I take it

that there are alleged victims in connection with this case, and I want to make sure that the appropriate procedures are being followed with respect to notification of alleged victims.

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor, they are.

THE COURT: Thank you. I will now hear from defense counsel.

MS. LENOX: Thank you, your Honor. The Federal Defenders were appointed to represent Mr. Ray -- I'm sorry.

The Federal Defenders represented Mr. Ray at his presentment yesterday for a presentment only. We did not fill out a financial affidavit at that time. That still needs to be filled out or Mr. Ray has to retain counsel.

So, I would ask for a brief adjournment of roughly two weeks, both so that we can sort out the representation issue and also so that on that date there may be a possible bail hearing for Mr. Ray.

THE COURT: So I take it on behalf of Mr. Ray today you are requesting an adjournment of two weeks and a further conference in approximately two weeks to discuss those items.

MS. LENOX: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I take it from the government there is no objection. That's consistent with your request as well.

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor. And I would just note that to the extent that his representation is in flux, that will delay the ability to enter a protective order and obtain

hard drives from defense counsel. So, while we can get the first wave of discovery out, that may delay the production of the other categories.

THE COURT: Although it sounds like you've got plenty of work to do with respect to the remainder of the productions and that you can get it ready so when there is counsel.

MS. SASSOON: Absolutely.

THE COURT: Let's discuss the Speedy Trial Act for a moment. I take it the defendant was arrested yesterday so it's one day that's run on the speedy trial clock? Is that right?

MS. SASSOON: He is being arraigned today, so I think that it would start to run from the time of arraignment.

THE COURT: So there is no time that's run.

MS. SASSOON: That's my understanding.

THE COURT: Is there an application with respect to the speedy trial clock?

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor. The government would move to exclude time between today and the date of the next conference, once it's determined, in the interests of justice, so the government can prepare and produce discovery, defense counsel can begin reviewing discovery, and the defendant can sort out his representation in this case.

THE COURT: Give me a second just with respect to the next conference. February 26 at 3 p.m.?

MS. SASSOON: Yes, your Honor.

1	MS. LENOX: That's fine, your Honor. Thank you.
2	THE COURT: OK. Does the defense have any objection
3	with respect to the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial
4	Act?
5	MS. LENOX: No objection, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: So, I will then exclude time under the
7	Speedy Trial Act from today until February 26 pursuant to 18
8	U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A). I find that the ends of justice served
9	by excluding such time outweigh the interests of the public and
10	the defendant in the speedy trial for a number of reasons,
11	including the need for the defendant to have time to retain
12	counsel or have counsel appointed, for there to be time for the
13	government to produce discovery to the defendant, and to have
14	discussions about a protective order and the nature of the
15	discovery to be produced, and also for the defendant to have
16	time to review any discovery that is made available.
17	Is that sufficient from the government's perspective?
18	MS. SASSOON: Yes. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Is there anything else from the
20	government's perspective that we should do today?
21	MS. SASSOON: No. Thank you, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: From the defense's perspective?
23	MS. LENOX: No. Thank you, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Thank you all for coming in today.

(Adjourned)